

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER

06/06/2007

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/815,545 03/31/2004 Ram P. Mohan 24858.703.301 23623 7590 06/06/2007 EXAMINER AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 1900 EAST 9TH STREET, NATIONAL CITY CENTER INGBERG, TODD D 24TH FLOOR, ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER CLEVELAND, OH 44114 2193 MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

- ;		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/815,545	MOHAN ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	•	Todd Ingberg	2193
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a rivill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matt	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat 9)⊠	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 16-18,20,22 and 40 is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 9/3/04 is/are: a) \text{\tex{\tex	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
2) Notice 3) Infor	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 - 40 have been examined.

Continued In Part (CIP)

1. The instant application is a Continuation In Part. The effective filing dates for the claimed subject matter will be determined with each response.

Drawings

2. New Drawings filed September 2, 2004 have been accepted.

Specification

- 3. The Preliminary amendment filed September 3, 2004 has been entered.

 The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 4. A series of singular dependent claims is permissible in which a dependent claim refers to a preceding claim which, in turn, refers to another preceding claim.

A claim which depends from a dependent claim should not be separated by any claim which does not also depend from said dependent claim. It should be kept in mind that a dependent claim may refer to any preceding independent claim. In general, applicant's sequence will not be changed. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Claim 22 is dependent on itself.

5. Claims 16, 17, 18, 20, 22 and 40 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 16, 17, 18, 20 and 22 have no period, claim 17 has more than 1 period, claim 22 has a dash present and claim 30 has the word "exeuction" is present. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,545 Page 3

Art Unit: 2193

Priority

6. Priority to Abandoned application 09/808,741 with a date of March 14, 2001 has been established. Claim to Domestic priority with 60/189,358 (March 14, 2000) will be reviewed with each response. Claimed subject matter supporting Figures 16 – 52 do not receive the Domestic Priority Date. The effective filing date for claimed subject matter supporting these figures will be determined by the date of the CIP filing.

7. The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The disclosure of the prior-filed application, Application No. 60/189, 358, fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application.

8. Claims 1 – 40 fail to be support by the Domestic Priority document. A lack of support to provide enough information for one of ordinary skill in the art to provided the additional claimed and Specified subject matter. The following is a summary of the unsupported terms: Application logics, Visual node layout, interface block node, interaction node, user interface node, user interface block node, visual representation of a software function, task node interface, execution without compilation of application logic, paused and saved during execution of application logic, restored and resumed saved application logic (including state information), visual node layout,

node execution measurements, any automatic generation of documentation, version history abilities, access control of application logic outside normal "accessor functions", (i.e. ANCI C Private, Public), logic for the purpose of modification and multiple access of the application logic for the purpose of viewing, automatically validating application logic against errors, event triggering and conditions.

9. In the event the Applicant elects to argue the denial of the Domestic Priority date. The mapping of the claimed subject matter to the Domestic Document is required to overcome the rejection of priority.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

10. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1 – 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The Specification has an embodiment of signal or carrier or wave. The references toward these embodiments must deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 11. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 12. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The dependency makes the claim indefinite. The Examiner is presuming the claim is dependent on claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

13. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

14. Claims 1 – 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over SoftWire (SW) Graphical Programming as taught in USPN #6,425,121 B1 issued July 23, 2002 and filed June 14, 2000 in view of Visual Basic 6 (VB) as documented in Rob Thayer's text book Visual Basic Unleashed (September 11, 1998). SoftWire states the invention is an add-on to Visual Basic (SW, col 2, lines 1-16 and col 6, lines 37 - 53). The rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) is a multi reference rejection. The claims are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Motivation to Combine SoftWire and Visual Basic 6.0

SoftWire (SW) teaches the use of icons to perform object based programming. The icons generate Visual Basic code. Visual Basic (VB) is a popular object programming language which

Application/Control Number: 10/815,545

Art Unit: 2193

enables add-ins/-ons such as SoftWire (VB, Chapter 3 – VB6 Template Manager, page 62)

features such as web development and client server architecture. Therefore, it would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of

SW and VB, because more rapid development of software reduces cost.

Claim 1

SW anticipates/teaches a method for creating software (SW, Abstract), comprising: providing a plurality of nodes (SW, Figure 4B, #402 and #414) and a directory of applications (SW, Figure 4B, #418, #420, #422), each of an application being created by use of at least a portion of the plurality of the nodes; selecting at least a portion of the plurality of nodes to create a selected node layout that represent a plurality of application logics (SW, Figure 9, shows program in iconic form and SW, col 8, line 55 – col 9, line 13); and executing the selected node layout by a server program (VB, Server, pages 547 – 549).

Claim 2

The method of claim 1, further comprising: visually displaying the selected node layout as a visual node layout. (SW, col 6, lines 21 – line 53).

Claim 3

The method of claim 1, wherein at least a portion of the plurality of application logics includes a user interaction (SW, Col 6, lines 50 - 54).

Claim 4

The method of claim 3, wherein the user interaction permits a user to interact with the server program (VB, client server, pages 547-549).

Claim 5

The method of claim 3, wherein the user interaction is executable on multiple channels (VB, Web, page 520 and Mail, page 484).

Claim 6

The method of claim 3, wherein the user interaction is executable by at least one of web, voice, e-mail and wireless channels (See the rejection for claim 5).

Claim 7

The method of claim 1, wherein the plurality of nodes includes a user interface node (SW, images and forms, col 1, lines 47 – col 2, line 15).

Claim 8

The method of claim 7, wherein the user interface node includes GUI components and a template for the physical layout of static (SW, Figure 4C the icon is static) and dynamic portions of a user

Application/Control Number: 10/815,545 Page 7

Art Unit: 2193

display (SW, Col 17 line 65 to col 18 line 17, properties like caption and attributes can be changed).

Claim 9

The method of claim 8, wherein dynamic portions of the user display are used by the server program at runtime to layout application specific GUI components (see the rejection for claim 7 and VB, page 484, Client – server).

Claim 10

The method of claim 3, wherein the user interaction includes a user interface node, a user interface block node and an interaction node. (SW, figure 4 – nodes for building interaction with user in GUI and properties SW, col figure 8A right side – Invention is an add-in to VB Properties of VB used in invention).

Claim 11

The method of claim 10, wherein the user interface node and user interface block node (as per claim 10) create a user interaction based on business rules (SW, Figure 9 - illustrate a business rule modeled and VB, page 890 - business logic layer).

Claim 12

The method of claim 11, wherein the interaction node executes the user interaction. See the rejection for claim 10.

Claim 13

The method of claim 1, wherein each node is a visual representation of a software function. (SW, col 31, lines 21-42)

Claim 14

The interface of claim 10, wherein each node includes inputs to a software function. (SW, col 31, lines 42-67 – data input in example)

Claim 15

The interface of claim 1, wherein the plurality of nodes includes task node interfaces with external components to exchange data information: (SW, col 7, lines 33 - 40)

Claim 16

The method of claim 1, wherein the selected node layout can be debugged visually (VB, page 782, Debugger)

Claim 17

The method of claim 1, wherein the parameter and properties values of the nodes can be changed dynamically based on business rules (SW, col 3, line 65 to col 4, line 34 and claim 11).

Claim 18

The method claim 1, wherein the parameter and properties values can be linked to variables (SW, col 7, line 65 to col 8 64 – properties and col 36, lines 20 - 30).

Claim 19

The method of claim 1, wherein the application logic is directly executed without compilation of application logic. (SW, col 2, lines 5-16, DLL)

Claim 20

The method of claim 1, wherein the application logic can be paused and saved during execution (SW, Figure 16, Wait and col35, lines 7-20)

Claim 21

The method of claim 21, wherein the saved application logic can be restored and resumed (SW, col 35, lines 50 - 65, wait and cancel)

Claim 22

The method of claim 22, wherein the saved application logic can be restored and execution resumed on a copy of the server program on a computer other than where it was initially started (See cancel in claim 21 and server of claim 1)

Claim 23

SW anticipates/teaches a method for creating software (SW, Abstract), comprising: providing a plurality of nodes (See the rejection for claim 1) and a directory of applications (See the rejection for claim 1), each of an application being created by use of at least a portion of the plurality of the nodes (See the rejection for claim 1); selecting at least a portion of the plurality of nodes to create a selected node layout that represent a plurality of application logics (See the rejection for claim 1); defining the application logic by selecting at least one of GUI parameters and options in each selected node (SW, col 24, line 42 to col 25, line 37); executing the selected node layout by a server program (VB, Server, pages 547 – 549).

Claim 24

The method of claim 23, further comprising: visually displaying the selected node layout as a visual node layout. (see properties in claim 8 and Properties as displayed in Figure 4A right side)

Claim 25

The method of claim 24, further comprising: monitoring a flow of control through each node in the node layout during execution by displaying individual node execution measurements. (SW, col 35, lines 42-49)

Claim 26

The method of claim 24, wherein the individual node execution measurements include usage counts, total execution time and average execution time. (SW, col 35, lines 42 - 49 and SW, col 31, lines 21 - 66 col 35, lines 6 - 41)

Claim 27

The method of claim 23, further comprising: providing documentation of a functional use of a node. (VB, page 737 – add in documentation to Help)

Claim 28

The method of claim 23, further comprising: providing a graphic description of a plurality of nodes that represent a full application logic (SW, col 1, line 47 – col 2, line 16).

Claim 29

The method of claim 23, further comprising: creating a history of different versions of the application logic (VB, page 72, version).

Claim 30

The method of claim 23, further comprising: creating access control of the application logic. (VB, page 544, getters controlled by PUBLIC or PRIVATE see pages 218 – 219 and SW, col 31, lines 22-24).

Claim 31

The method of claim 30, wherein the access control provides single access of the application logic for purposes of modification and multiple access of the application logic for purposes of viewing. (See the rejection for claim 30 – controlled access by PUBLIC or PRIVATE see pages 218 – 219 and SW, col 31, lines 22-24).

Claim 32

The method of claim 23, further comprising: automatically validating the application logic against errors. (SW, Col 1, lines 42-46, col 2, lines 10-16).

Claim 33

The method of claim 23, further comprising: aggregation at least a portion of the plurality of nodes to create an aggregated node. (SW, col 6, lines 38-46 and col 7, lines 7-12- Also inherent in Object technology for extensible programming - aggregation is a form of inheritance)

Claim 34

The method of claim 33, wherein the aggregated node is an application logic. (See claim 33 – methods are inherited).

Claim 35

The method of claim 34, wherein the aggregated node can be used different application logics. (SW, Figure 15 under General shows the reusable components – Reuse is one of the common benefits of Object Technology, SW, figures 13 - 15).

Claim 36

SW anticipates/teaches a method for creating software (SW, Abstract), comprising: providing a plurality of nodes (See the rejection for claim 1) and a directory of applications (See the

Application/Control Number: 10/815,545 Page 10

Art Unit: 2193

rejection for claim 1), each of an application being created by use of at least a portion of the plurality of the nodes (See the rejection for claim 1); selecting at least a portion of the plurality of nodes to create a selected node layout that represent a plurality of application logics nodes (See the rejection for claim 1); defining external application interfaces nodes (SW, Figure 4C, Data Acquisition and Claim 5 external connections for email and Internet); and executing the selected node layout by a server program nodes (VB, Server, pages 547 - 549).

Claim 37

The method of claim 36, further comprising: establishing conditions for execution of the selected node layout. (SW, col 36, lines 21-41).

Claim 38

The method of claim 37, wherein the conditions for the execution include time based events. (SW, col 30, lines 49-65).

Claim 39

The method of claim 37, wherein the conditions for the execution include programmatic events. (SW, col 27 line 40 to col 28, line 15).

Claim 40

The method of claim 39, wherein selected programmatic events create a trigger for the exeuction of the selected node layout. (SW, Claim 39 and col 28, lines 15-64).

Correspondence Information

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd Ingberg whose telephone number is (571) 272-3723. The examiner can normally be reached on during the work week..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,545

Art Unit: 2193

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Todd Ingberg/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2193 Page 11